This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:47 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20>

Syntheses and structures of 3d–4d heterometallic coordination polymers based on 4-amino-1,2,4-triazole

Yu-Ting Gou ^a, Fan Yue ^a, Hua-Mei Chen ^b, Gang Liu ^a & Du-Cheng Sun^b

^a Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Fine Chemicals, Ministry of Education, Xinijang University, Urumqi, China

b College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, China

Accepted author version posted online: 10 Apr 2013.Published online: 08 May 2013.

To cite this article: Yu-Ting Gou , Fan Yue , Hua-Mei Chen , Gang Liu & Du-Cheng Sun (2013) Syntheses and structures of 3d–4d heterometallic coordination polymers based on 4-amino-1,2,4 triazole, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 66:11, 1889-1896, DOI: [10.1080/00958972.2013.794384](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/00958972.2013.794384)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2013.794384>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at [http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)[and-conditions](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)

Syntheses and structures of 3d–4d heterometallic coordination polymers based on 4-amino-1,2,4-triazole

YU-TING GOU†, FAN YUE*†, HUA-MEI CHEN†, GANG LIU† and DU-CHENG SUN‡

†Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Fine Chemicals, Ministry of Education, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, China

‡College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, China

(Received 19 September 2012; in final form17 January 2013)

Three heterometallic coordination polymers based on 4-amino-1,2,4-triazole (atrz), $Cd_{2.98}Fe_{2.02}(atrz)$ 6 $(SCN)_{10}$ ·2H₂O (1), Cd_{2.86}Co_{2.14}(atrz)₆(SCN)₁₀·2H₂O (2), and Cd_{2.02}Ni_{2.98}(atrz)₆(SCN)₁₀·2H₂O (3), were synthesized. Crystal structures of the polymers were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, X-ray powder diffraction, and infrared analysis. The complexes exhibit a 3-D structure and are isostructural, but entail different durations for transformation from a 1-D polymer to a 3-D structure. The results of vibrating sample magnetometry for 1 indicate that the complex exhibits weak paramagnetism.

Keywords: Heterometallic; Coordination polymers; Magnetism

1. Introduction

Metal-organic coordination polymers (CPs) receive attention for intriguing architectures and physical and/or chemical properties, including ferroelectricity, luminescence, magnetism, nonlinear optics, and gas storage [1–5]. Usually, the structure of CPs is influenced by coordination geometries of metal [6, 7], and structural characteristics of the ligands [8, 9], and counter anions [10, 11]. Polynuclear transition metal complexes with derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole derivatives have elicited growing interest because of magnetic properties [12, 13]. A series of hybrid 3d–3d [14, 15] and 3d–4f [16, 17] metal complexes that exhibit interesting structures and significant properties have been synthesized.

In this paper, on the basis of previous studies on heterometallic complexes of Zn(II) and Cd(II) [18], we use 4-amino-1,2,4-triazole (atrz) and thiocyanate (SCN^-) as ligands and $Fe(II)$ –Cd(II)/Co(II)–Cd(II) as metals to obtain two heterometallic complexes. Structural characterizations indicate that the complexes are 3-D structures, similar to hybrid metal complexes reported previously. If the coordinated metal is Cd, a 1-D chain polymer [Cd $(\text{atrz})_2(\text{SCN})_2$ _n is synthesized, as reported in previous research [19].

^{*}Corresponding author Email: xjyuefan@yahoo.com.cn

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instruments

4-Amino-1,2,4-triazole (atrz) was prepared by the literature method [20]. Other reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without purification. The crystal determinations were performed on a RAXIS SPIDER diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Powder crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a Bruker D8 AVANCE. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Thermo Flash EA-1112. Infrared (IR) spectra (KBr) were recorded on a Bruker EQUINOX 55 spectrometer from $400-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Atomic absorption was performed by a Hitachi Z-2000. Magnetic susceptibility was carried out on a VSM Lakeshore 7404.

2.2. X-ray crystallography

X-ray single-crystal diffraction was determined at 293 ± 2 K. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F^2 by full-matrix least-squares with SHELXTL-97 [21]. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogens of atrz were localized in their calculated positions and refined using a riding model. Hydrogens of waters were not treated. Table 1 shows crystallographic data of 1 and 2. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in table 2, CCDC: 901670–901671.

2.3. Preparation of complexes

2.3.1. Cd_{2.98}Fe_{2.02}(atrz)₆(SCN)₁₀·2H₂O (1). A mixture of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.46 g, 1.5 mmol), FeSO₄·7H₂O (0.42 g, 1.5 mmol), and NH₄SCN (0.61 g, 8.0 mmol) was stirred in a 20 mL aqueous solution for 30 min at 80 °C. Then, atrz (0.25 g, 3 mmol) dissolved in

Table 1. Crystallographic data for 1 and 2.

Compound	1	$\mathbf{2}$	
Empirical formula	$C_{22}H_{28}Cd_{298}Fe_{202}N_{34}O_{2}S_{10}$	$C_{22}H_{28}Cd_{2.86}Co_{2.14}N_{34}O_{2}S_{10}$	
Formula weight	1569.15	1554.84	
Temperature (K)	293(2)	29(2)	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	
Space group	$P\ 2(1)/n$	$P\ 2(1)/n$	
a	11.233(2)	11.101(2)	
\boldsymbol{b}	15.143(3)	15.172(3)	
\mathcal{C}	15.789(3)	15.672(3)	
β	96.29(3)	95.80(3)	
Volume (A^3) , Z	$2669.4(9)$, 2	$2626.1(9)$, 2	
F(000)	1539	1538	
Calculated density $(g/cm3)$	1.952	1.985	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.128	1.180	
R_1 , w R_2 [$I > 2$ sigma(I)]	0.0498, 0.1355	0.0611, 0.1664	
R_1 , w R_2 (all data)	0.0642, 0.1610	0.0857, 0.2352	
Largest diff. peak hole (e A^{-3})	$1.459, -1.004$	$1.501, -1.964$	

 $N(17)$ –Cd(1)–S(6) 167.2(2) $N(16)$ –M(3)–N(11) 90.0(3)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1 and 2.

(Continued)

$S(6)$ –Cd(1)–S(4)	93.89(8)	$N(5)-M(3)-N(11)$	87.5(3)
$N(17)$ –Cd (1) –S (8)	87.9(2)	$N(16) - M(3) - N(1)$	177.2(3)
$S(6)-Cd(1)-S(8)$	91.7(1)	$N(9) - M(3) - N(1)$	92.1(3)
$S(4)$ –Cd(1)–S(8)	98.47(8)	$N(5)-M(3)-N(1)$	85.1(3)
$N(17)$ –Cd (1) –S (7)	81.5(2)	$N(11) - M(3) - N(1)$	91.1(3)
$S(6)-Cd(1)-S(7)$	97.6(1)	$N(16) - M(3) - N(10)$	92.6(3)
$S(4)$ –Cd(1)–S(7)	87.42(8)	$N(9) - M(3) - N(10)$	91.7(3)
$S(8)-Cd(1)-S(7)$	168.61(8)	$N(5)-M(3)-N(10)$	169.2(3)
$N(17)$ –Cd (1) –S (5)	87.4(2)	$N(11) - M(3) - N(10)$	88.6(3)
$S(6)-Cd(1)-S(5)$	79.90(8)	$N(1)$ - $M(3)$ - $N(10)$	84.9(3)
$S(4)$ –Cd(1)–S(5)	166.10(8)	$C(3) - N(1) - M(3)$	122.7(6)
$S(8)-Cd(1)-S(5)$	94.17(8)	$N(2) - N(1) - M(3)$	128.9(5)
$S(7)$ –Cd(1)–S(5)	81.15(7)	$C(5)-N(12)-M(2)$	129.6(6)
$C(10)$ #2-S(4)-Cd(1)	94.4(3)	$N(11)$ #1- $N(12)$ - $M(2)$	124.7(5)
$C(9)-S(5)-Cd(1)$	107.0(3)	$C(11) - N(9) - M(3)$	164.0(7)
$C(8)-S(6)-Cd(1)$	100.8(4)	$C(1)$ -N(5)-M(3)	126.3(6)
$C(7)-S(7)-Cd(1)$	98.4(3)	$N(6)-N(5)-M(3)$	125.6(4)
$C(11)\#3-S(8)-Cd(1)$	101.5(3)	$C(4)-N(2)-M(2)$	130.7(5)
$C(10) - N(17) - Cd(1)$	161.0(7)	$N(1) - N(2) - M(2)$	122.6(5)
$C(2)$ -N(6)-M(2)	127.1(6)	$C(6)$ #1-N(11)-M(3)	123.7(6)
$N(5)-N(6)-M(2)$	126.4(5)	$N(12)\#1-N(11)-M(3)$	128.1(5)
$C(7)$ -N(16)-M(3)	176.5(8)	$C(9)$ #5-N(10)-M(3)	145.9(7)

Table 2. (Continued)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms in 1: #1 $-x$, $-y$, $-z$; #2 $-x+1$, $-y+1$, $-z$; #3 $x+1/$ $2, -y + 1/2, z-1/2;$ #4 $x+1, y, z;$ #5 $x-1, y, z;$ #6 $x-1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2;$ in 2: #1 $-x, -y, -z;$ #2 $-x+1, -y$ $+ 1, -z; \#3 \; x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; \#4 \; x + 1, y, z; \#5 \; x - 1, y, z; \#6 \; x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z + 1/2.$

10 mL methanol was added to the mixture. After refluxing for 3 h, the red solution was filtered and the filtrate was allowed to stand for evaporation at room temperature. Colorless block single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 3d. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{28}Cd_{2.98}Fe_{2.02}N_{34}OS_{10}$ (%): C, 16.84; H, 1.80; N, 30.35; S, 19.68; O, 2.04. Found (%): C, 16.70; H, 1.72; N, 29.94; S, 19.32; O, 1.95. AAS. Calcd (%): Cd, 21.35; Fe, 7.19. Found (%): Cd, 21.47; Fe, 7.03. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3311m, 3088m, 2099vs, 1619m, 1211m, 993s, 619vs.

2.3.2. $Cd_{2.86}Co_{2.14}(atrz)_{6}(SCN)_{10}$ 2H₂O (2). 2 was synthesized in a procedure analogous to that of 1 except that $Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ was used instead of FeSO₄.7H₂O. Pink lozenge-shaped single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 2d. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{28}C_{286}C_{22,14}N_{34}OS_{10}$ (%): C, 16.98; H, 0.90; N, 30.61; S, 20.58; O, 2.06. Found (%): C, 16.70; H, 1.80; N, 30.53; S, 20.47; O, 1.99. AAS. Calcd (%): Cd, 20.68; Co, 8.11. Found (%): Cd, 20.80; Co, 8.02. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3312m, 3057m, 2092vs, 1613m, 1211m, 997s, 621vs.

2.3.3. $Cd_{2.02}Ni_{2.98}(atrz)_{6}(SCN)_{10}.2H_2O(3)$. 3 was prepared in a procedure analogous to that of 1 except that $Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ was used instead of FeSO₄·7H₂O. Mauve single crystals were obtained after 1.5d, but the crystals were too small to be characterized by singlecrystal XRD. Powder crystal determination indicates that the structure of 3 is similar to that of the first two complexes. Obtaining suitable crystals necessitates allowing complexes to evaporate for several days. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{28}Cd_{2.02}Ni_{2.98}N_{34}OS_{10}$ (%): C, 17.53; H, 1.87; N, 31.59; S, 21.29; O, 1.06. Found (%): C, 17.50; H, 1.89; N, 31.50; S, 21.28; O, 1.14. AAS. Calcd (%): Cd, 15.06; Ni, 11.60. Found (%): Cd, 15.02; Ni, 11.69. IR (KBr, cm^{-1}) : 3308m, 3055m, 2090vs, 1610m, 1212m, 1002s, 619vs.

3. Results and discussion

Single-crystal X-ray analysis reveals that 1, 2, and 3 are isostructural, exhibiting a 3-D structure. Thus, only the crystal structure of 1 is described in this section. The unit cell consists of five metal ions, six atrz ligands, ten SCN^- , and no H_2O coordinates to metal ions (figure 1(a)). Cd(II) and Fe(II) are positionally disordered with a ratio of $2.98:2.02$. M2, M3, and M3A are bridged by six atrz ligands and form a trinuclear metal unit (figure 1 (b)). M2 is coordinated to six nitrogens from six different atrz ligands. Both M3 and M3A are coordinated to six nitrogens, three from three $SCN⁻$ and three from three different atrz. Cd and Cd1 are connected to the trinuclear metal unit of $SCN⁻$ and coordinated to five additional SCN⁻. The M2-N distances range from 2.247(6) to 2.289(6) Å (average band distance $2.27(2)$ Å). This shows that M2 contains two kinds of metals (Cd and Fe), and the structure after analysis indicates that a small amount of iron exists in M2. The M3-N distances range from 2.142(7) to 2.222(5) Å (average band distance is 2.19(4) Å). Similarly, M3 consists of Fe and Cd, but only a small amount of Cd. M3A is similar to M3 because of their symmetrical structures. Therefore, the trinuclear unit may be presented as 3d–4d–3d. The magnetic 3d metals are separated by nonmagnetic 4d metal ions. Thus, the three complexes exhibit weak paramagnetism, in accord with the results of vibrating sample magnetometry.

3.1. Study of the reaction mechanism

The structures of the three complexes were characterized by powder XRD and IR (Supplementary material), indicating that the three isostructural heterometallic CPs are synthesized and that each complex is homogeneous.

When only $Cd(II)$ is present, a 1-D polymer chain forms. However, when Fe, Co, Ni, and Zn with smaller radii are added the structure of the complexes changes into a 3-D structure. The structural transformation is not a casual occurrence, and a controllable regular pattern showing metal ion influence on the structure of complexes is observed.

When a different 3d metal is mixed, the duration of the structural transformation differs. The durations of the crystallization of 1, 2, and 3 are 3, 2, and 1.5 d, respectively. When Zn(II) is added, the crystallization entails 3d, indicating that different coordination properties of 3d metal ions can influence the crystallization process. The result may be attributed to the different rates of ligand exchange between metal ions. Fe(II) and $Zn(II)$, especially $Zn(II)$, are very easy to hydrate. Thus, the order of the length of time for crystallization follows the order: $Zn(II) > Fe(II) > Co(II) > Ni(II)$.

3.2. Magnetic properties of 1

Powder samples of 1 were studied to determine magnetic properties. The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of 1, in the form of χ_M and $\chi_M T$, is shown in figure 2, where χ_M is the magnetic susceptibility per trinuclear (M3, M2, M3A)

Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of 1 or 2; (b) packing diagram of 1 or 2 along the a axis that constructs a 3-D framework.

compound. The room temperature $\chi_M T$ is 4.5 cm³ K mol⁻¹, which is slightly larger than the expected value for two magnetically isolated Fe(II) (M3 and M3A) ions $(4.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1})$. $\chi_M T$ rapidly increases at 100 K to 290 K, but the χ_M value gradually decreases and reaches $3.15 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at 290 K. This magnetic behavior reveals weak paramagnetic interactions between the two Fe(II) centers and shows that the ground state is $S = 1$.

Figure 2. Plots of χ_M (\blacksquare) and χ_M T (\triangle) *vs.* temperature for 1; the solid line represents the theoretical curve.

4. Conclusion

Two isostructural heterometallic CPs based on 4 -amino-1,2,4-triazole and SCN ⁻ were synthesized and characterized. When $Ni(II)$ is used instead of $Fe(II)/Cd(II)$, a third isostructural heterometallic CP is obtained. All of the polymers are multinuclear and are based on 3-D frameworks.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Foundation of Natural Science Fund of Xinjiang Autonomous Region of China (No. 2011211B06) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20106501120002).

References

- [1] S.L. Qiu, G.S. Zhu. Coord. Chem. Rev., 253, 2891 (2009).
- [2] Z.B. Ma, B. Moulton. Coord. Chem. Rev., 255, 1623 (2011).
- [3] J.H. Zhou, R.M. Chen, Y. Song, Y.Z. Li, X.T. Chen, Z.L. Xue, X.Z. You. Inorg. Chem., 44, 8011 (2005).
- [4] H.A. Habib, A. Hoffmann, H.A. Höppe, G. Steinfeld, C. Janiak. Inorg. Chem., 48, 2166 (2009).
- [5] T. Forestier, S. Mornet, N. Daro, T. Nishihara, S. Mouri, K. Tanaka, O. Fouché, E. Freysz, J.F. LéTard. Chem. Commun., 4327, (2008).
- [6] R.Q. Zou, L.Z. Cai, G.C. Guo. J. Mol. Struct., 737, 125 (2005).
- [7] J. Lincke, D. Lässig, H. Krautscheid. Acta Cryst., C65, m488 (2009).
- [8] J.H. Zhou, X.G. Liu, Y.P. Zhang, B.L. Li, Y. Zhang. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 9, 216 (2006).
- [9] Y. Liu, Z.G. Zhao, Z.L. Fang, R.M. Yu, C. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 12, 599 (2009).
- [10] B.L. Schottel, H.T. Chifotides, M. Shatruk, A. Chouai, L.M. Pérez, J. Bacsa, K.R. Dunbar. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 128, 5895 (2006).
- [11] X. Zhu, H.Y. Ge, Y.M. Zhang, B.L. Li, Y. Zhang. Polyhedron, 25, 1875 (2006).
- [12] Y. Garcia, G. Bravic, C. Gieck, D. Chasseau, W. Tremel, P. Gutlich. Inorg. Chem., 44, 9723 (2005).
- [13] J.H. Zhou, R.M. Cheng, Y. Song, Y.Z. Li, Z. Yu, X.T. Chen, X.Z. You. Polyhedron, 25, 2426 (2006).
- [14] Z. Su, Z.S. Bai, J. Fan, J. Xu, W.Y. Sun. Cryst. Growth Des., 9, 5190 (2009).
- [15] P.K. Chen, Y.X. Che, L. Xue, J.M. Zheng. Cryst. Growth Des., 6, 2517 (2006).
- [16] F.Q. Wang, X.J. Zheng, Y.H. Wan, C.Y. Sun, Z.M. Wang, K.Z. Wang, L.P. Jin. Inorg. Chem., 46, 2956 (2007).
- [17] Y.Z. Tang, H.R. Wen, Z. Cao, X.W. Wang, S. Huang, C. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 13, 924 (2010).
- [18] Q.G. Zhai, X.Y. Wu, S.M. Chen, C.Z. Lu, W.B. Yang. Cryst. Growth Des., 6, 2126 (2006).
- [19] H.Y. Zhang, H. Li, G. Liu, T.T. Liu, F. Yue, J.D. Wang. Russ. J. Coord. Chem., 36, 411 (2011).
- [20] R.M. Herbst, J.A. Garrison. J. Org. Chem., 18, 872 (1953).
- [21] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXL-97, University of Gottingen, Germany (1997).